A numerical study for wind profile characterisation within and above PV canopies

Victor S. Olawoore¹, C. Lei¹, M. Riaz¹, B. Li^{1*}, Z. Yijun^{1*}, Y. Shuai¹



1 School of Energy Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China; biaoli@hit.edu.cn



Photovoltaic (PV) installations are increasingly becoming popular as a means of power generation. However, similar to any roughness element in the Atmospheric Boundary Layer (ABL), they alter the local flow conditions of the environment. Thinking of the PV flow as a Photovoltaic Canopy Layer (PVCL) flow can provide more insights to these effects hence, characterization of the velocity profile is important in order to fully capture the effect of the roughness elements within the canopy and the shearing effect they present to the wind flow. This has already been established for similar canopy studies such as urban and forest canopy [1-2] etc. At locations X/H = 235.82, 313.94, velocity decay can be seen downstream of the PV farm. Furthermore, around z/H = 15, self-similarity can be observed. At this height, the vertical influence of the PV is minimal. The flow is said to have achieved homogenous stability typical of the inertial sublayer (ISL).



iii. Profile above the canopy layer

The Profile above the PV canopy layer corresponds to the region at $1 \le z/H < 15$ and $z/H \ge 15$ respectively. Fig. 4 shows the fitting of the profile to the log-law. Friction velocity $(u_*) = 0.68$ m/s, displacement height (d) = 1.80 m, obtained from empirical relation as 0.7*H*. Roughness length $(z_0) = 0.25$ m, obtained from empirical relation as 0.1*H*.

Methodology

The commercial Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) code, FLUENT was used to carry out the steady state RANS simulation of the $L \times W = 500$ m \times 500 m area PV farm in this study. The PV farm is made up of four (4) column of PV panels (BC). Each column is made up of three (3) block rows (BR) of PV panels. Distance between the columns and blocks is 6 m respectively. The individual PV panels in a PV block row has the following parameters; Tilt (θ) = 25 degrees, row pitch (R) = 7.31 m, PV height (H) = 2.56 m, chord length (L) =4.98 m. The domain and boundary conditions are shown in Fig.1. The inlet was specified as a log-law profile using user defined functions (UDF). Standard $\kappa - \varepsilon$ turbulence model with standard wall treatment was used. SIMPLE algorithm was employed for coupling pressure and velocity as used in related ABL studies [3].

Fig.2. Flow evolution across the PV farm

ii. Profile within the canopy layer For flows within the canopy layer i.e.,



Fig.4. Log law profile fit for above canopy layer



 $0 \le z/H < 1$, the exponential equation in Eq,(1). was presented by Inoue [4] for the analytical representation of canopy mean wind flows. Similarly, Eq,(2). was presented by Cowan [5] to account for the close to the ground velocity effects.



Conclusions

Simulation of a large-scale PV farm has been carried out using the FLUENT software. Derivation of complete PV canopy equations is ongoing.

Acknowledgement

This work has been supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 52278102) and the National Major Scientific Instruments and Equipment Development Project of China (Grant No. 52227813), which is gratefully acknowledged by the author.

Fig.1. Showing PV farm parameters

Discussions

i. Flow evolution across the PV farm

Fig. 2 shows the flow evolution across the PV farm. Location X/H = -0.55 corresponds to the undisturbed flow ahead of the PV panels. As shown, the flow is sheared close to the ground for locations X/H = 65.76, 132.68, 196.75 behind the first, second and third PV row blocks respectively.

 $\beta = 16.00 \text{ (RMSE : 0.5656)}$ $- \times - \text{PV canopy layer (This work)}$ $- \times - \text{PV canopy layer (This work)}$ $- \times - \text{PV canopy layer (This work)}$

Fig.3. PV canopy using (a) Inoue's equation (b) Cowan's equation

$$u(z) = u_h \cdot e^{-\beta \left(1 - \frac{z}{H}\right)}$$
(1)
$$u(z) = u_h \cdot \sqrt{\left(\sinh(\alpha \cdot z/H)/\sinh(\alpha)\right)}$$
(2)

Fig. 3 compares the Inoue and Cowan models for the PV canopy. As shown, these equations do not accurately represent the PV canopy flow. The PV canopy flow is not exponential. In fact, it follows the *S*-profile commonly found in forest canopies.

References

[1] A. Awol, G. Bitsuamlak, F. Tariku, A new analytical model for wind flow in canopies, J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn. 225 (2022) 105003.
[2] Z. Mo, C.H. Liu, H.L. Chow, M.K. Lam, Y.H. Lok, S.W. Ma, F.L. Wong, P.Y. Yip, Roughness sublayer over vegetation canopy: A wind tunnel study, Agric. For. Meteorol. 316 (2022) 108880.

[3] Y. Wang, K. Zhong, J. Xu, Y. Kang, A simplified numerical method for modelling the wind flow and ventilation at urban-scale street network, Build. Environ. 239 (2023) 110389.

[4] Inoue, On the Turbulent Structure of Airflow within, J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan. Ser. II 41 (1963) 317–326.

[5] I.R. Cowan, Mass, heat and momentum exchange between stands of plants and their atmospheric environment, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 94 (1968) 523–54