

# **AESMT'20** Alternative Energy Sources, Materials & Technologies, 2020 June 8-9, 2020, Varna, Bulgaria

# **Experimental and numerical investigation on a multilayer** structured PV/T system Z. G. Fu<sup>1\*</sup>, Y. Li<sup>1</sup>, Y. J. Bao<sup>2</sup>, Q. Z. Zhu<sup>1\*</sup>

1: College of Energy and Mechanical Engineering, Shanghai University of Electric Power, Shanghai, China 2: Xinjiang oilfield company, Luliang oilfield operation area, Karamay, China.



The method of adding phase change materials (PCMs) can be adopted to control the temperature rise of solar panels. The reported electrical and thermal performance deeply depends on the adopted PV/T system and the climate. More results on the performance of practical PV/T system with PCMs are required. The purpose of this study is to propose an effective multilayer structured heat exchanger with PCMs adopted in a practical PV/T system. The performance improvements in typical seasons are validated experimentally. Furthermore, the influence of thickness of PCM layer on the operation performance of the system is investigated numerically.

Physical Model		

🖂 Glas	s cover 🔊	PCM
PV o	ell 🗖	Copper tube net
D1	1 5339	Inculation layer

able 1. Physical and geometric parameters of system				
nponent Thermal con. Transmission Absorption Thickness				
W/(m•℃)	m			

## Equations and Solution

* Electrical and Therm	al 🛠 Phase Change
<i>efficiency</i>	$\label{eq:sol} \mathrm{If}\; \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{p}} \! < \! \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{sol}}, T_{\mathrm{p}} \! = \! T_{\mathrm{mp}} \! - \! \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{sol}} \! - \! \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}}{C_{\mathrm{sol}}} \ , \; \lambda_{\mathrm{p}} \! = \! \lambda_{\mathrm{s}}$
$\eta_{e} = \eta \cdot a_{c} \cdot \tau_{g} \cdot F$	If $Q_{sol} < Q_p < Q_{liq}$ , $T_p = T_{mp}$ ,
$n_{\rm t} = \frac{n R_{\rm w} C_{\rm w} (T_{\rm out} - T_{\rm in})}{n_{\rm t}}$	$\lambda_{\rm p} = \lambda_{\rm sol} \frac{Q_{\rm sol} - Q_{\rm p}}{Q_{\rm liq} - Q_{\rm sol}} + \lambda_{\rm liq} \frac{Q_{\rm p} - Q_{\rm sol}}{Q_{\rm liq} - Q_{\rm sol}}$
$A_{pv} \cdot I$	If $Q_{\text{liq}} \leq Q_{\text{p}}$ , $T_{\text{p}} = T_{\text{mp}} + \frac{Q_{\text{p}} - Q_{\text{liq}}}{C_{\text{lic}}}$ , $\lambda_{\text{p}} = \lambda_{\text{liq}}$
A Coverning Equation	11

### Soverning Equation

The energy balance of the PV panel can be written as follows.

 $F \cdot \alpha_{\rm c} \cdot \tau_{\rm g} \cdot I + U_{\rm gc}(T_{\rm g} - T_{\rm c}) = \eta \cdot F \cdot \alpha_{\rm c} \cdot \tau_{\rm g} \cdot I + U_{\rm cb}(T_{\rm c} - T_{\rm b})$ Where, F is packing factor;  $\tau$  is transmission coefficient;  $\eta$  is photovoltaic efficiency; U is overall heat transfer coefficient.

#### **Solution** overall heat The sol . coefficients in the model can be

calculated. All equations are solved by a self-made MATLAB procedure to evaluate the temperature of various layers

transfer

### Table 2. Thermal properties of PCMs

Type Thermal	ype Thermal conductivity Melting temperature Latent heat			
W	/(m•°C)	Ċ	kJ/kg	
Paraffin	0.20	32.42	124.57	
5%EG/Paraffin	3.86	31.98	123.69	
10%EG/Paraffin	8.42	31.69	114.95	
15%EG/Paraffin	13.00	31.28	101.12	

### insulation layer Backplane

# 

Class	1	0.01	0.05	0.003
GIASS	140	0.91	0.05	0.005
Pv panel	148	0.09	0.80	0.0003
Backplane	144	0.00039	0.4	0.0005
Air	0.024	-	-	0.01
Insulation	0.035	-	-	0.05
Tube	397	-	-	0.002

### \* Multilayer structured heat exchanger



\* Schematic diagram of the experimental facility

## Results & Discussions

**\***Effects of Thickness of PCM Layer

**\*** Validation **Temperature of system** 



Fig. 1. Predicted and measured temperature of (a) PV cell and (b) water in the tank.

Therefore, the numerical model established is reliable and the results are in good agreement with the experimental results whether it considers PCM layer or not. It can be used to simulate the operation performance of PV/T system based on the multilayer structured heat exchanger.

### **\***Improved Efficiencies



Fig. 2. Electrical efficiency of different composite PCMs, (a) instantaneous and



Fig.4. Variation of water temperature with PCM thickness



Fig.5. Mean thermal efficiency of different composite PCMs

+ The water temperature in the heat storage tank with a thickness of 1cm is the highest, which is 24.5 °C. The water temperature of the heat storage tank with a thickness of 7cm is the lowest, which is 19.4 °C. When the water temperature of reaches the maximum temperature, it begins to decline due to the weakened solar radiation. At the end of the final time, the corresponding minimum maximum and water temperature are 13.8  $^{\circ}$ C and 11.8  $^{\circ}$ C, respectively, corresponding to the use of PCMs with thickness of 1cm

and 7cm. **—**−1cm **→** 3cm ---4cm ------ 5cm —△— 6cm —**■** 7cm Time (min)

### Fig.6. Thermal efficiency under different thickness of PCM layer

![](_page_0_Figure_40.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_41.jpeg)

Fig.3. Typical solar radiation intensity and ambient temperature in winter

 $\star$  The thermal efficiency of the system mainly depends on the temperature difference between the inlet and outlet of the heat exchange system and the solar radiation intensity. From the beginning of the system to 260min, the PCM layer and the water absorb heat at the same time, resulting in only part of the absorbed solar radiation heating the water with the increasing solar radiation intensity. Thus, the thermal efficiency of the system is maintained at about 10%. When the system runs to 360 min, the solar radiation intensity decreases obviously and the heat is released from the PCM layer, which improves the thermal efficiency of the system.

# Conclusions

 $\succ$  Under the condition of typical weather, for the closed-cycle PV/T system, the temperature difference between the water tank and the ambient temperature at the end of operation can be increased without affecting the electrical efficiency by using the PCM between the backplane of PV panel and the heat exchange passageway. > Under the condition of typical weather days in winter, for the composite PCM used in the experiment, the greater the mass fraction of expanded graphite in the composite PCM, the greater the improvement of the system operation performance. The maximum electrical efficiency and thermal efficiency of the system can be increased by 0.9% and 14.9% respectively when 15% expanded graphite paraffin composite phase change material is used.

 $\succ$  Under the meteorological conditions of typical weather days in winter, the influence of the thickness of phase change materials on the operation performance of the system is obvious. The PCM layer with a thickness of 1 cm has the highest system electrical efficiency and thermal efficiency, which are 17.8% and 51.5% respectively.

The supports by the National Science Foundation of China (No.51606114) and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (STCSM NO.18020501000) to this study are acknowledged and highly appreciated.